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Objective: To assess the psychosocial impact of hemangiomas and their treatment on children with the disease and their families.

Design: Thirty-nine children who were treated for hemangiomas were examined by a questionnaire that addressed the emotional attitudes of the parent and child toward the disease and the related treatment.

Setting: Two private ambulatory surgery centers (in Latham and Charleston).

Results: Overall, the survey found a negative effect on the child’s family, with considerable fear caused in part by adverse public commentary or attitudes—which was ameliorated by education from the primary care provider and specialist. However, the family’s perception was that the child was not deeply affected by his or her condition and that treatment (laser, intralesional corticosteroids, oral corticosteroids, surgery, or a combination) did not change the child’s emotional response to the disease. However, most parents observed that their child was too young to appreciate his or her malady.

Conclusions: Given earlier intervention for children with late-involuting hemangiomas and the advent of more effective therapies, our survey did not seem to indicate that the children experienced significant emotional trauma from their condition; nevertheless, their families experienced appreciable emotional and psychological distress.
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nable to the pulsed dye laser. Pharmacological intervention with corticosteroids (intralesional and systemic), interferon alfa-2a and -2b, and bleomycin sulfate has been investigated and implemented with varying success.\textsuperscript{17-18} Surgery has remained a mainstay of therapy for those lesions that are refractory to the previously described methods or that are deemed more suitable to surgical debulking.

Given the recent advances in hemangioma management and the relative paucity of literature on the psychological sequelae of this disease, this article is intended to address these deficiencies and to provide a meaningful contribution to our understanding of the untoward psychological effects that hemangiomas may have on the child and family.

**METHODS**

Thirty-nine families were interviewed by telephone about their child's hemangioma using a 38-point questionnaire that covered the child's birth history, the natural history of the hemangioma, physician encounters, treatment interventions, and the family's and child's emotional attitudes toward the hemangioma and related treatment. Initially, 112 medical records were evaluated for this study, but most were excluded from inclusion because of the presence of a vascular malformation rather than a true hemangioma, the lack of any therapy administered, or the inability to contact the family. Of the 39 patients, one of us (E.F.W.) treated 19 and another one of us (M.H.) treated the other 20. The female-male ratio was 29:10.

**RESULTS**

**BIRTH HISTORY**

The birth history of the child reveals a high incidence of complications (14 [36\%] of 39), which included pre-eclampsia (n = 4), prematurity (n = 1), traumatic birth (n = 2), hyperemesis (n = 2), gestational diabetes mellitus (n = 2), twin-twin transfusion (n = 2), and failure to thrive (n = 1). The one case of prematurity occurred at
28 weeks' gestation. Slightly more than a third of the patients (13 [33%]) reported a family history of hemangiomas. Of the mothers, 14 (36%) took oral contraceptives before pregnancy, but all stopped their prophylactic medications 1 month before conception. None, however, took any fertility medications.

**HISTORY OF THE HEMANGIOMA**

More than half (23 [59%]) of the hemangiomas presented at birth, and all were evident by the age of 2 months (Figure 5). Many treated hemangiomas occurred in the head and neck region (29 [74%]), most frequently on the cheek and forehead (Figure 6). Eleven children (28%) had multiple hemangiomas (range, 2-4 lesions; mean, 2.5 lesions). However, only 1 of the children had more than 1 hemangioma (specifically, 2 lesions) treated.

**PHYSICIAN ENCOUNTERS**

Parents attested to the accuracy with which their primary care physicians diagnosed the vascular lesion (37 [95%] of 39), and remarked that they recommended any treatment for only 9 (23%) of the lesions. (As stated, we selected all patients who eventually underwent treatment.) Nevertheless, these families sought more expert opinion from the Vascular Birthmarks Foundation at various stages in the evolution of the hemangioma, with the children ranging in age from 2 weeks to 12 years (Figure 7). All parents perceived that their visit to the Vascular Birthmarks Foundation was informative and that a treatment plan was clearly formulated. Of the parents, 38 (97%) professed that they could make a rational decision on the management of their child's hemangioma based on the information supplied by the Vascular Birthmarks Foundation.

**TREATMENT HISTORY**

As detailed in the introductory remarks of this article, the treatment algorithm was determined based on the guidelines enumerated by Williams et al. Hemangiomas that were deemed rapidly proliferating in a cosmetically sensitive area (ie, the face and neck), that risked impending ulceration or had ulcerated, that were categorized as late involuters, or that remained stable into the school years were candidates for therapy. Only patients who underwent therapy for their hemangioma were included in this study, to assess the psychological effects of the treatment intervention.

When treatment was recommended, the modalities used were pulsed dye laser, intralesional corticosteroid injections, oral corticosteroids, surgery, or a combination of the modalities mentioned. Of the patients, 31 (79%) underwent pulsed dye laser therapy (range, 1-10 treatments; mean, 3 treatments). Most patients (30 [77%]) were 1 year or younger, and underwent laser therapy to retard the proliferative nature of the hemangioma. Of the patients treated with the laser (7 of 31 or 23%), were significantly older (≥2 years), and laser treatment was primarily aimed at eliminating dermal ectasias and/or reducing the residuum, and was often combined with surgery. Corticosteroids are only effective during the proliferative phase of the hemangioma, and treatment was confined only to this period. Intralesional corticosteroid injection was used in 7 patients (18%), with a range of 1 to 6 treatments (mean, 1.9 treatments). All corticosteroid injections were given as an adjunct to laser therapy during the proliferative phase of the hemangioma, because all patients were younger than 1 year. Oral corticosteroids were administered to 6 patients (15%) during the proliferative phase of the hemangioma, 4 of whom had therapy initiated before presentation at the Vascu-
Table 1. Psychological Profile of 39 Patients With Hemangiomas and Their Families, Involving the Emotional Aspects of the Disease*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No Change</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The presence of the lesion caused fears and anxieties in you and/or your family</td>
<td>17 (44)</td>
<td>17 (44)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The information you received from your primary care physician helped alleviate these fears and anxieties</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td>17 (44)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>8 (21)</td>
<td>5 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The fears and/or anxieties decreased after you visited the Vascular Birthmarks Foundation</td>
<td>20 (51)</td>
<td>16 (41)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I encountered critical comments, negative stares, and/or opinions from others regarding my child’s birthmark</td>
<td>26 (67)</td>
<td>9 (23)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This experience motivated me to find a specialty clinic</td>
<td>20 (51)</td>
<td>9 (23)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>5 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fears and anxieties eliminated or decreased after your visit to the Vascular Birthmarks Foundation</td>
<td>17 (44)</td>
<td>20 (51)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The birthmark had a negative emotional effect on you and your family</td>
<td>7 (18)</td>
<td>14 (36)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>11 (28)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The birthmark had a negative emotional effect on your child, who is affected by the birthmark</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>7 (18)</td>
<td>17 (44)</td>
<td>8 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The birthmark adversely interfered with normal childhood activities, such as attending parties, playtime sessions, and day care</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>17 (44)</td>
<td>11 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were you ever accused of child abuse because of the birthmark?†</td>
<td>10 (26)</td>
<td>......</td>
<td>......</td>
<td>......</td>
<td>29 (74)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are given as number (percentage) of parents. Percentages may not total 100 because of rounding. Ellipses indicate data not applicable.
†Of the parents, 10 responded “yes” and 29 responded “no.”

Table 2. Psychological Profile of 39 Patients With Hemangiomas and Their Families After Treatment*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No Change</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A change was noted in my child’s self-esteem following treatment of the lesion</td>
<td>5 (13)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>20 (51)</td>
<td>7 (18)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My child was less embarrassed</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>21 (54)</td>
<td>8 (21)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My child showed less avoidance of social gatherings</td>
<td>5 (13)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>18 (46)</td>
<td>9 (23)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You had an improved relationship with the child</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>26 (67)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall, the emotional removal is as important as the physical removal of the birthmark</td>
<td>26 (67)</td>
<td>11 (29)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are given as number (percentage) of parents. Percentages may not total 100 because of rounding.

ilar Birthmarks Foundation and 2 of whom began systemic therapy to treat an obstructing lesion (1 near the eye, and the other in the subglottic airway). Finally, surgery was performed, either once or twice, in 22 patients (56%) ranging in age from 2 months to 7 years.

PSYCHOSOCIAL QUESTIONNAIRE

A 15-point questionnaire was then administered to assess the emotional and psychological effects that the hemangioma had on the family and the child (Table 1 and Table 2). Parents were asked to respond to questions with one of the following opinions: strongly agree, agree, no change, disagree, or strongly disagree. The first part of the questionnaire pertained to the attitudes that the family and child had toward the hemangioma, and the second part concerned the emotional response to treatment. Parents expressed fear and anxiety toward the presence of the lesion. This anxiety was only partially alleviated by the primary care physician’s advice regarding the hemangioma, but more parents professed that the advice delivered by the Vascular Birthmarks Foundation mitigated their concern.

Most parents testified to the negative commentary or stares they received from others, leading them to seek professional advice from a specialty clinic. Of the 39 parents, 10 professed that they were actually accused of child abuse because of their child’s vascular lesion. Although the hemangioma provoked anxiety in parents, a mixed response was given regarding the negative emotional effect on the family, and there was even less of an emotional burden on the child according to parents’ perceptions. Similarly, the parents thought that the hemangioma did not adversely interfere with the child’s social activities. However, parents acknowledged that their children were too young to appreciate their own condition.

The second half of the questionnaire addressed the emotional response of the parent and child to the treatment of the lesion (Table 2). Three questions that pertained to the child’s attitude toward his or her condition after treatment received similar responses from parents, namely, that the child was not affected positively or negatively by the treatment (51% reported no change in self-esteem, 54% witnessed no change in the degree of embarrassment, and 46% claimed that no change occurred in the child’s willingness to participate in social gatherings). Most respondents, however, qualified their opinions by declaring that their child was too immature to appreciate his or her condition. However, the few older children (n=8), who ranged in age from 3 to 8 years, revealed proportionally greater benefit from treatment compared with the younger children. Most parents of these older children thought that treatment effected significant improvement in the child’s self-esteem (with 4 strongly agreeing, 2 agreeing, and 2 believing no change was evident). Also, they agreed that their children were
less embarrassed (with 3 strongly agreeing, 4 agreeing, and 1 believing no change existed). Overall, most parents (67%) confirmed that no change occurred in the relationship they maintained with their child after treatment. Nevertheless, 37 (95%) of the parents (26 [67%] who strongly agreed and 11 [28%] who agreed) believed that the emotional removal was commensurate to the physical removal of the hemangioma, which testifies to the intangible benefit that treatment may afford.

**COMMENT**

The treatment of vascular lesions has undergone a revolution in thought and practice in the past 10 years. Earlier intervention and advanced therapeutic modalities, such as laser therapy, have permitted the patient and family the opportunity to remove the hemangioma earlier and more effectively and, thereby, to mitigate the psychological impact that the hemangioma may otherwise have. The previously mentioned psychological profiles of patients with hemangioma and their family members were conducted, for the most part, before 1993, and may be considered outdated in some respects considering the new treatment algorithms and methods. In contrast, the psychological studies on port-wine stains were published principally at the turn of this millennium (1997-2000), and may reflect more current treatment designs.

The understanding of the nature and evolution of hemangiomas has been further refined since the seminal work of Mulliken and Glowacki, who distinguished hemangiomas from vascular malformations based on the former's endothelial proliferative characteristics. Older terminology, such as capillary and cavernous hemangiomas and strawberry nevi, has been replaced with a more standardized nomenclature of superficial, deep, and compound hemangiomas. More recently, hemangiomas have been further subdivided clinically into early and late involuters, with the former resolving at the age of 1 to 2 years and the latter, after the age of 2 years. Based on these characteristics, an algorithm for early intervention has been proposed for the late involuters to avoid the attendant social stigma that would occur after entering school and further to address the substantial residuum often seen in these children.

Similarly, rapidly proliferative hemangiomas in a cosmetically sensitive area or those that risk ulceration are also treated early. The patients who were examined in this study were cared for following the guidelines of this new paradigm.

By virtue of their scientific nature, physicians are prone to measure the success of their treatment by objective criteria, such as removal of disease or avoidance of morbidity. At times, physicians look toward their patients for approbation and confirmation that their patients are satisfied with the care they have received. Rarely do physicians weigh the psychological burden that a disease process carries or, even less frequently, what steps should be taken to avoid the development of such emotional trauma. The psychological condition of the patient may be considered inaccessible or too elusive to ascertain reasonably in an objective fashion. Therefore, few studies have investigated the psychological importance of a disease or how treatment may favorably alter the patient's outlook.

The management of hemangiomas has remained shrouded in uncertainty for many years, given the potential for these lesions to regress spontaneously. Many physicians have advocated a policy of benign neglect; the child is permitted to mature into early childhood without intervention. Newer studies have documented that many hemangiomas do not involute: one study established that only 50% regressed by the age of 6 years, and of that group, 38% retained a marked cosmetic deformity. Based on these findings, hemangiomas that exhibit signs of late involution should be subjected to earlier management to avoid the potential psychological sequelae that this protracted waiting period may engender in the child.

The few psychosocial studies that have examined the effects of late intervention have documented the real trauma that children and their families sustained from the presence of the hemangioma at such a late age. The child's body image is poorly developed before the age of 3 years, but by the age of 7 years, the child usually has a mature self-identity and is able to distinguish aesthetic concepts that may render the child feeling different from his or her peers. During the intervening years (the ages of 3-7 years), the child has already slowly acquired his or her perception of body identity, and it becomes imperative that the surgeon or physician intervene before this period to abort any negative social effects. The advantage of early intervention should be apparent for parent and child alike to avoid the negative social perceptions toward the parent and the ostracism that may ensue for the child at school.

This article underscores the importance of evaluating the psychological role that hemangiomas may have on the entire family unit and the fact that treatment should be tailored to curtail the damaging effects. Our findings overwhelmingly indicate that the parents believe the emotional burden matches the physical nature of the disease, and this opinion should help to guide physicians as they counsel their patients about treatment. However, a caveat must be offered at this point: parental anxiety should never dictate the timing of treatment because early-involuting hemangiomas have a high likelihood of complete regression and should be given the chance to do so. Premature intervention in stable, regressing, or nonobstructive lesions does a disservice to the child and the family. We must also consider the cost constraints dictated by insurance providers, yet maintain the need for treatment when appropriate. We believe that a judicious policy should be advocated—early intervention in hemangiomas that are rapidly proliferating or that fail to involute early to preclude the negative psychological impact on the developing child. In fact, the children in this study were not significantly affected by their disease process likely because they were too immature, an opinion that their parents repeatedly offered without provocation from the interviewer. The few older children who were enrolled in this study had proportionally greater psychological effects from their disease than their younger counterparts—a fact that supports our approach toward earlier intervention.
All children who were included in this study underwent therapy for their hemangioma—a fact that may predispose this study toward some bias. Only treated patients were studied to assess the disposition of the family and child toward the disease and to determine whether any beneficial change should arise from treatment. Clearly, younger and older children who never underwent treatment or who remained only under the care of their primary care physicians would be subjects worthy of further analysis. A multiarmed study in which treated and untreated children were examined in a prospective fashion would hold greater scientific merit. However, we believe that the proposed treatment algorithm represents a standard of care and that leaving patients intentionally untreated for the purposes of a scientific study would be inappropriate. Despite the limitations of this retrospective approach, we hope that this study should still encourage physicians to weigh the psychological dimensions with the more tangible physical attributes of the hemangioma when counseling the family and when deciding a course of therapy.

CONCLUSIONS

The treatment of hemangiomas has undergone a remarkable transformation in the past decade, owing in part to a better understanding of the disease and a more effective therapeutic arsenal. Few studies have investigated the psychological ramifications of these particular vascular lesions on the child and family. Our results indicate that the parents bear the burden of psychological distress concerning their child’s disease and that the young child remains relatively unaware of his or her condition, according to parents’ perceptions. Earlier treatment protocols may account for the immature child’s immunity from psychological repercussions. Further clinical studies are needed to confirm these preliminary findings.
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